

ZEROS OF POLYNOMIALS ORTHOGONAL ON SEVERAL INTERVALS

FRANZ PEHERSTORFER

ABSTRACT. Let $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_{2l}$, $E_j = [a_{2j-1}, a_{2j}]$, put $E = \bigcup_{j=1}^l E_j$ and $H(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{2l} (x - a_j)$. Furthermore let $p_n(x) = x^n + \dots$ be the polynomial of degree n orthogonal on E with respect to a weight function of the form $w/\sqrt{-H}$ with square root singularities at the boundary points of E and $w \in C^3(E)$. We study and answer the following questions: how many zeros has p_n in the interval E_j , $j \in \{1, \dots, l\}$, when does there appear a zero of p_n in a gap (a_{2j}, a_{2j+1}) , are the accumulation points of the zeros of (p_n) dense in the gaps, $\dots$. First we give the number of zeros of p_n in the interval E_j , $j = 1, \dots, l$, in terms of the harmonic measure of E_j and the logarithmic mean value of the weight function. Then a criteria for the appearance of a zero of p_n respectively of an accumulation point of zeros of (p_n) in a gap is derived. As a consequence we obtain that every point of $[a_1, a_{2l}] \setminus E$ is an accumulation point of zeros of (p_n) if the harmonic measures of the intervals are linearly independent over the rationals. If the harmonic measures are rational then there is a finite number of accumulation points of zeros of (p_n) in $[a_1, a_{2l}] \setminus E$ only.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_k \in \mathbb{R}$ for $k = 1, \dots, 2l$, $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_{2l}$, and put

$$E_k = [a_{2k-1}, a_{2k}], E = \bigcup_{k=1}^l E_k \text{ and } H(x) = \prod_{k=1}^{2l} (x - a_k),$$

and set

$$\frac{1}{h(x)} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{l-k}/\pi\sqrt{-H(x)} & \text{for } x \in E_k, \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

The symbols R and S denote monic polynomials that satisfy the relation

$$(1) \quad R(x)S(x) = H(x).$$

In the following let W be a function with the following properties: $W(x) \neq 0$ on E , $R/(Wh) > 0$ on $\text{int}(E)$ and $W \in C^2(E)$ with

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42C05.

Key words and phrases. orthogonal polynomials, number of zeros, accumulation points of zeros, Green's function, Riemann surface, Jacobi inversion problem, harmonic measure.

This work was supported by the Austrian Science Fund FWF, project-number P12985-TEC.

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega_2(W, \frac{1}{n}) \ln n = 0$, where ω_2 is the modulus of continuity of order 2, see [17]. By $p_n(x, R/Wh) = p_n(x) = x^n + \dots$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we denote the monic polynomial orthogonal on E to $\mathbb{P}_{n-1}$ ($\mathbb{P}_{n-1}$ denotes as usual the set of all real polynomials of degree less or equal $n-1$) with respect to the weight function R/Wh , i. e.,

$$(2) \quad \int_E x^j p_n(x) \frac{R(x)}{W(x)h(x)} dx = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 0, \dots, n-1.$$

$P_n(x)$ denotes the orthonormal polynomial.

Polynomials orthogonal on several intervals have been studied already by old masters like A. Markoff [20], Faber [9], Shohat [36], ... then in the sixties and seventies asymptotic representations of the orthonormal polynomials have been derived by Achieser and Tomcuk [1, 2, 40], Widom [42] and Nuttall and Singh [22], where in the last two papers also arcs and curves are considered. Recent related results can be found in [3, 4, 8, 10, 11, 19, 26–31].

It is well known and easy to prove by the orthogonality property (2) that p_n has all zeros in $[a_1, a_{2l}]$ and at most one zero in each gap $[a_{2j}, a_{2j+1}]$, $j = 1, \dots, l-1$. Furthermore due to a result of Faber, see e.g. [9, 35], we have the following rough information about the asymptotic zero distribution

$$(3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#Z(p_n, (a_1, t))}{n} = \int_{a_1}^t d\mu_e(x)$$

where $t \in \mathbb{R}$, μ_e denotes the equilibrium measure of E , $Z(f, A) = \{x \in A : f(x) = 0\}$ the set of zeros of f on the set $A \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $\#Z(f, A)$ the number of zeros on A . Recalling (see [34]) that $\mu_e(E_k) = \omega_k(\infty)$, where $\omega_k(\infty)$ denotes the harmonic measure for $\bar{\mathbb{C}} \setminus E$ of E_k at $z = \infty$ we have moreover by (3)

$$(4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#Z(p_n, E_k)}{n} = \omega_k(\infty) \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, l.$$

In contrast to the single interval case where very detailed informations about the zeros are available, see e.g. [16, 39], for the several interval case such simple questions as how many zeros has p_n precisely in each of the intervals E_k , when does there appear a zero in a gap, is the set of zeros of (p_n) dense in the gaps are still open and will be settled in this paper. The interest in such questions became renewed in the last years, when it turned out that orthogonal polynomials play an important role in the solution of integrable systems, random matrices and combinatorics, see e.g. [4–6, 24, 25] and the references therein. In many of these problems the spectrum of the associated Jacobi operator consists of several intervals and of foremost interest are the eigenvalues of the Jacobi operator and thus the zeros of the orthogonal polynomials which are the eigenvalues of the truncated tridiagonal Jacobi matrix. For the two interval case the questions on the zeros have been investigated by A. Markoff [20] in 1886 already. Recently we were able to settle them completely with the help of elliptic functions [32]. Here we treat and settle the general case. For the special class of weights $R/(\rho_\nu h)$, where ρ_ν is a polynomial, called Bernstein-Szegő weights and by the russian

community sometimes Akhiezer weights, the precise number of zeros in the intervals E_k has been given (in somewhat weaker form) by A. Lukashov and the author in [18] using automorphic functions (for the special case when p_n is supposed to have all zeros in E see [14] also).

Let us briefly outline the organization and the main results of the paper. In the next Section polynomials orthonormal with respect to Bernstein-Szegő weights are studied. The main ingredient is a representation in terms of Green's functions from which many important properties of the orthonormal polynomials and of their zeros follow. Note, when the weight R/Wh is approximated by Bernstein-Szegő weights then the behaviour of the corresponding orthonormal polynomials will be the same asymptotically as has been shown in [2]. In Section 3 the general case is considered and the main results are presented. With the help of the results of Section 2 a formula for the number of zeros in the intervals E_k in terms of the harmonic measure and the mean value of the weight function is derived as well as a criteria for the appearance of a zero in a gap is given. Similarly as in the asymptotic description of orthonormal polynomials one of the key stones is an associated Jacobi inversion problem on the Riemann surface $y^2 = H$. Loosely speaking, all the above desired informations about the zeros are hidden in the solutions of the inversion problem. For instance it turns out that a given point from a gap is an accumulation point of zeros of (p_n) if and only if the point lies in the second (negative) sheet of the Riemann surface and is an accumulation point of the solution of the associated Jacobi inversion problems. As a consequence we obtain that for given l' , $0 \leq l' \leq l-1$, points in the gaps, at most one in each, there exists a subsequence (n_{κ}) such that the points are limit points of the zeros of $(p_{n_{\kappa}})$, if the harmonic measures of the intervals E_k are linearly independent over the rationals. In the opposite case when all harmonic measures are rational it turns out that there is a finite number of accumulation points in the gaps only.

2. BERNSTEIN - SZEGŐ POLYNOMIALS AND THEIR REPRESENTATION IN TERMS OF GREEN'S FUNCTIONS

In the following ρ_ν denotes a real polynomial of degree ν which has no zero in E , that is

$$\rho_\nu(x) = c \prod_{k=1}^{\nu^*} (x - w_k)^{\nu_k},$$

where $c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, $\nu^* \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\nu_k \in \mathbb{N}$ for $k = 1, \dots, \nu^*$, $\nu = \sum_{k=1}^{\nu^*} \nu_k$, $w_k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus E$ for $k = 1, \dots, \nu^*$, and the w_k are real or appear in pairs of conjugate complex numbers. In this section we study polynomials, so-called Bernstein-Szegő polynomials, orthogonal on E with respect to the weight function $R/(\rho_\nu h)$. As already mentioned above the reason why they are important is that polynomials orthogonal with respect to a weight function

of the form R/Wh behave asymptotically like those one orthogonal with respect to $R/\rho_\nu h$ if ρ_ν approximates W sufficiently well.

In what follows we choose that branch of $\sqrt{H}$ for which $\sqrt{H(x)} > 0$ for $x \in (a_{2l}, \infty)$. By $\phi(z, z_0)$ we denote a mapping which maps $\mathbb{C} \setminus E$ onto the exterior of the unit circle, which has a simple pole at $z = z_0 \in \overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus E$ and satisfies $|\phi(z, z_0)| \rightarrow 1$ for $z \rightarrow \xi \in E$ a.e.; or in other words $\log |\phi|$ is the Green's function. It is known [42, Section 14] that

$$(5) \quad \phi(z, \infty) = \exp\left(\int_{a_1}^z r_\infty(\xi) \frac{d\xi}{\sqrt{H(\xi)}}\right),$$

where $r_\infty(\xi) = \xi^{l-1} + \dots$ is the unique polynomial such that

$$(6) \quad \int_{a_{2j}}^{a_{2j+1}} r_\infty(\xi) \frac{d\xi}{\sqrt{H(\xi)}} = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, l-1,$$

and that for $x_0 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus E$

$$(7) \quad \phi(z, x_0) = \exp\left(\int_{a_1}^z \frac{r_{x_0}(\xi)}{\xi - x_0} \frac{d\xi}{\sqrt{H(\xi)}}\right)$$

where $r_{x_0} \in \mathbb{P}_{l-1}$ is such that

$$(8) \quad r_{x_0}(x_0) = -\sqrt{H(x_0)}$$

and

$$(9) \quad \text{p.v.} \int_{a_{2j}}^{a_{2j+1}} \frac{r_{x_0}(\xi)}{\xi - x_0} \frac{d\xi}{\sqrt{H(\xi)}} = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, l-1;$$

For the following let us note that r_{x_0} can be represented as

$$r_{x_0}(\xi) = (\xi - x_0)(r_\infty(\xi) - M_{x_0}(\xi)) - \sqrt{H(x_0)},$$

where $M_{x_0}(\xi) = \xi^{l-1} + \dots \in \mathbb{P}_{l-1}$ is the unique polynomial which satisfies

$$(10) \quad \text{p.v.} \int_{a_{2j}}^{a_{2j+1}} \frac{\sqrt{H(x_0)}}{x_0 - \xi} \frac{d\xi}{\sqrt{H(\xi)}} = \int_{a_{2j}}^{a_{2j+1}} M_{x_0}(\xi) \frac{d\xi}{\sqrt{H(\xi)}} \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, l-1.$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let $R, \rho_\nu, \varepsilon_j \in \{-1, 1\}$, $j = 1, \dots, \nu^*$, be given and assume that the polynomials $(Rp_n)(x) = x^{n+\partial R} + \dots \in \mathbb{P}_{n+\partial R}$ and $(Sq_m) \in \mathbb{P}_{m+\partial S}$ have no common zero and satisfy the relations*

$$(11) \quad Rp_n^2 - Sq_m^2 = \rho_\nu g_{(n)}$$

with

$$(12) \quad (Rp_n)^{(k)}(w_j) = \varepsilon_j (\sqrt{H} q_m)^{(k)}(w_j) \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, \nu_j,$$

at the zeros w_j , $j = 1, \dots, \nu^*$, of ρ_ν and $g_{(n)}$ a polynomial of degree at most $l-1$ with simple zeros $x_{j,n}$ only at which, by (11),

$$(13) \quad Rp_n(x_{j,n}) = \delta_{j,n} (\sqrt{H} q_m)(x_{j,n}) \quad \text{where } \delta_{j,n} \in \{-1, 1\}.$$

Then for $2n + \partial R \geq \nu + \partial g_{(n)}$ the following representations hold on $\mathbb{C} \setminus E$

$$(14) \quad \mathcal{R}_1 := \frac{2Rp_n^2}{\rho_\nu g_{(n)}} - 1 = \frac{1}{2}(\psi_n + \frac{1}{\psi_n})$$

and

$$(15) \quad \sqrt{H}\mathcal{R}_2 := \sqrt{H}\frac{2q_m p_n}{\rho_\nu g_{(n)}} = \frac{1}{2}(\psi_n - \frac{1}{\psi_n})$$

where

$$(16) \quad \psi_n(z) = \phi(z, \infty)^{2n+\partial R-(\nu+\partial g_{(n)})} \prod_{j=1}^{\nu^*} \phi(z, w_j)^{\nu_j \epsilon_j} \prod_{j=1}^{\partial g_{(n)}} \phi(z, x_{j,n})^{\delta_{j,n}}.$$

Furthermore for $x \in E$

$$(17) \quad \mathcal{R}_1(x) = \frac{1}{2}(\psi_n^+ + \psi_n^-)$$

and

$$(18) \quad \mathcal{R}_2(x) = \frac{\pi(\psi_n^+ - \psi_n^-)}{2ih(x)}$$

where $\psi_n^\pm$ denotes the limiting values of ψ_n from the upper and lower half-plane, respectively.

Proof. Relation (11) squared can also be written in the form

$$(19) \quad \mathcal{R}_1^2 - H\mathcal{R}_2^2 = 1,$$

where $\mathcal{R}_1$ and $\mathcal{R}_2$ are given by the first expression in (14) and (15), respectively. Let us put on $\mathbb{C} \setminus E$

$$(20) \quad \psi_n = \mathcal{R}_1 + \sqrt{H}\mathcal{R}_2 = \frac{(Rp_n + \sqrt{H}q_m)^2}{R\rho_\nu g_{(n)}}$$

where the second equality follows with the help of (11). Again by (19) and (11)

$$(21) \quad \frac{1}{\psi_n} = \mathcal{R}_1 - \sqrt{H}\mathcal{R}_2 = \frac{(Rp_n - \sqrt{H}q_m)^2}{R\rho_\nu g_{(n)}}$$

from which it follows that the second equality holds in (14) and (15). Since

$$(22) \quad \psi_n^\pm(x) = \mathcal{R}_1(x) \pm i(-1)^{l-k} \sqrt{|H(x)|} \mathcal{R}_2(x) \text{ on } E_k$$

representations (17) and (18) follow. Note that

$$(23) \quad |\psi_n^\pm(x)| = 1 \text{ on } E.$$

Further

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} &\psi_n \text{ has a pole of order } 2n + \partial R - (\nu + \partial g_{(n)}) \text{ at } z = \infty \\ &\psi_n \text{ has a pole(zero) at } w_j \text{ of multiplicity } \nu_j \text{ if } \epsilon_j = 1 \text{ } (\epsilon_j = -1) \\ &\psi_n \text{ has a simple pole (zero) at } x_{j,n} \text{ if } \delta_{j,n} = 1 \text{ } (\delta_{j,n} = -1) \end{aligned}$$

Thus the function

(25)

$$f(z) := \psi_n(z) \phi(z, \infty)^{-(2n + \partial R - (\nu + \partial g_{(n)}))} \prod_{j=1}^{\nu^*} \phi(z, w_j)^{-\nu_j \epsilon_j} \prod_{j=1}^{\partial g_{(n)}} \phi(z, x_{j,n})^{-\delta_{j,n}}$$

has neither zeros nor poles on $\bar{\mathbb{C}} \setminus E$ and by (23) and the definition of ϕ we have $|f^\pm| = 1$ on E . Hence $\log|f(z)|$ is a harmonic bounded function on $\bar{\mathbb{C}} \setminus E$ which has a continuous extension to E and thus $f = 1$. Hence the representation (16) is proved. $\square$

Remark 2.2. For the following let us note that relation (11) with $g_{(n)} \in \mathbb{P}_{l-1}$ and $R/\rho_\nu h > 0$ on $\text{int}(E)$ imply that $g_{(n)}$ has exactly one zero $x_{j,n}$ in each gap $[a_{2j}, a_{2j+1}]$, $j = 1, \dots, l-1$. This follows immediately from the fact that $-RS = -H > 0$, and thus by (11) $hg_{(n)} > 0$, on $\text{int}(E)$.

Notation 2.3. Let $t(x) = d_n x^n + d_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots$ be a polynomial with $d_n \neq 0$. Then $\hat{t}(x) = t(x)/d_n$ denotes the monic polynomial.

Corollary 2.4. *Suppose that the assumptions of Lemma 2.1 are satisfied, put $P_n = \sqrt{2/G_n} p_n$ and $Q_m = \sqrt{2/G_m} q_m$, where G_n denotes the leading coefficient of $g_{(n)}$ and assume that $R/\rho_\nu h > 0$ on $\text{int}(E)$. Then the following statements hold for sufficiently large n :*

- a) *On each interval E_j the zeros of RP_n and SQ_m strictly interlace.*
- b) *P_n has a zero in (a_{2j*}, a_{2j*+1}) , $j* \in \{1, \dots, l-1\}$, if and only if Q_m has a zero in (a_{2j*}, a_{2j*+1}) .*
- c) *(P_n) and (Q_m) are unbounded at the zeros of R and S , respectively.*

Proof. Ad a). First let us observe that by (14) and (15)

$$(26) \quad RP_n = 0 \quad \text{iff } \psi_n^+ = -1 \quad \text{and} \quad SQ_m = 0 \quad \text{iff } \psi_n^+ = 1$$

where on E ψ_n^+ is given in (22) and naturally coincides with ψ_n outside E . By the representations (16) and (5) - (7) we have for $x \in E$

$$(27) \quad \psi_n^+(x) = e^{i\chi_n(x)},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_n(x) = & -(2n + \partial R - (\nu + \partial g_{(n)}))\pi \int_{a_1}^x \frac{r_\infty(t)}{h(t)} dt \\ & + \text{bounded function with respect to } x \text{ and } n, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that for $x \in \text{int}(E)$

$$(28) \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow x, \text{Im} z > 0} r_\infty(z)/\sqrt{H(z)} = -i\pi r_\infty(x)/h(x).$$

Concerning the boundedness with respect to x and n of the error in (27) let us note first that the polynomial r_{x_0} from (7) is uniformly bounded with

respect to $x_0 \in (a_{2j}, a_{2j+1}), j = 1, \dots, l-1$, since the Cauchy principal-value integral at the left hand side in (10) is uniformly bounded and that, if $x_{j,n}$ or a subsequence tends to a boundary point of E ,

$$(29) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{a_1}^x \frac{\sqrt{H(x_{j,n})}}{t - x_{j,n}} \frac{dt}{h(t)}$$

exists for $x \in E$, see e.g. [21]. Since by (6) r_∞ has exactly one zero in each gap $(a_{2j}, a_{2j+1}), j = 1, \dots, l-1$, and thus $r_\infty/h > 0$ on $\text{int}(E)$ it follows that for sufficiently large n χ_n is strictly monotone on each interval E_k which proves part a).

Ad b). As above by (18), (16) and (5) - (7) for $x \in \text{int}(E)$

$$(30) \quad \frac{(P_n Q_m)(x)}{(\rho_\nu \hat{g}_n)(x)} = \frac{\sin \left(- (2n + \partial R - (\nu + \partial g_{(n)})\pi \int_{a_1}^x \frac{r_\infty(t)}{h(t)} dt + \dots \right)}{(-1)^{l-k} \sqrt{-H(x)}}$$

hence, note that by (26) $\sin \chi_n(a_j) = 0$ at the zeros of H and that n is fixed,

$$(31) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow a_j} \frac{(P_n Q_m)(x)}{(\rho_\nu \hat{g}_n)(x)} = \cos \chi_n(a_j) \left(\frac{(2n + \partial R - (\nu + \partial g_{(n)})r_\infty(a_j) + \text{bounded function})}{H'(a_j)} \right)$$

Using the facts that

$$(32) \quad \text{sgn}(r_\infty(a_{2j})H'(a_{2j})) = \text{sgn}(r_\infty(a_{2j+1})H'(a_{2j+1})) \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, l-1,$$

that $\cos \chi_n(a_j) = \pm 1$ and that by (11) $R\rho_\nu \hat{g}_n > 0$ on $\text{int}(E)$ (31) implies that

$$\text{sgn}(P_n Q_m)(a_{2j}) = \text{sgn}(P_n Q_m)(a_{2j+1}) \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, l-1$$

if n is sufficiently large. Recalling that P_n and Q_m have at most one zero in the gap part b) is proved.

Ad c). The unboundedness of (P_n) and (Q_m) at the zeros of R and S follows immediately by (31). $\square$

For the following the somewhat technical statements will be needed.

Corollary 2.5. *Under the assumptions and the notations of Corollary 2.4 the following inequalities hold for $n \geq n_0$*

a) Put $Z_\epsilon(S) = \bigcup_{a_j \in Z(S)} [a_j - \epsilon, a_j + \epsilon], \epsilon > 0$. Then

$$(33) \quad |P_n| \geq \text{const} > 0 \quad \text{on} \quad Z(RQ_m, E \setminus Z_\epsilon(S))$$

For such n 's for which $|P_n| \geq \tilde{\text{const}} > 0$ on $Z(S)$ we even have

$$(34) \quad |P_n| \geq \text{const} > 0 \quad \text{on} \quad Z(HQ_m, E)$$

b) If $|\hat{g}_{(n)}| \geq \tilde{const} > 0$ on E then the inequality (34) as well as

$$(35) \quad |Q_m| \geq const > 0 \quad \text{on} \quad Z(HP_n, E).$$

hold.

Proof. Ad a). Since $\hat{g}_{(n)}$ has all zeros in the gaps it follows that $|\hat{g}_{(n)}| \geq const > 0$ on $E \setminus (Z_\epsilon(S) \cup Z_\epsilon(R))$. Hence, by (11), it remains to be shown only that $|P_n| \geq const > 0$ on $Z_\epsilon(R) \cap E_j, j \in \{1, \dots, l\}$. For instance, let us consider the case that $a_{2j-1} \in Z(R)$ and $a_{2j} \in Z(S)$. Then by (11) the following estimate holds on E_j

$$(36) \quad |P_n|^2 \geq \tilde{const} |\rho_\nu| \left| \frac{x - x_{j-1,n}}{x - a_{2j-1}} \right| |x - x_{j,n}| \geq \tilde{const} |\rho_\nu| |x - x_{j,n}|$$

with $\tilde{const} > 0$, which implies the desired inequality. If $|P_n| \geq \tilde{const} > 0$ on $Z(S)$ then by (11) the zeros of $\hat{g}_{(n)}$ can not accumulate to $Z(S)$ which gives, again by (11), the second statement.

Ad b). Follows immediately from (11) and part c). $\square$

Next let us recall (see the author's paper [26] where a more general statement is proved even) that the polynomials which are orthonormal with respect to a measure of the form

$$(37) \quad d\mu_{R,\rho_\nu,\epsilon} = \frac{R}{\rho_\nu h} dx + \sum_{j=1}^{\nu^*} (1 - \epsilon_j) \frac{\sqrt{H(w_j)}}{\rho'_\nu(w_j)} \delta(x - w_j),$$

where $R/\rho_\nu h > 0$ on $\text{int}(E)$, $\epsilon_j \in \{-1, 1\}$ for $j = 1, \dots, \nu^*$, and if $\epsilon_j = -1$ then w_j is a simple real zero of ρ_ν , and where δ denotes the Dirac measure, satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 2.1, i.e., (11) - (13), resp. of Corollary 2.4. Indeed the following statement holds.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $2n + \partial R \geq \nu + l - 1$. Then $P_n(Q_m)$ is orthonormal to $\mathbb{P}_{n-1}(\mathbb{P}_{m-1})$ with respect to $d\mu_{R,\rho_\nu,\epsilon}$ ($d\mu_{S,\rho_\nu,\epsilon}$) if and only if $P_n(Q_m)$ satisfies a representation of the form*

$$(38) \quad RP_n^2 - SQ_m^2 = 2\rho_\nu \hat{g}_{(n)}$$

with

$$(39) \quad (RP_n)^{(k)}(w_j) = \epsilon_j (\sqrt{H} Q_m)^{(k)}(w_j), \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, \nu_j,$$

at the zeros $w_j, j = 1, \dots, \nu^*$, of ρ_ν and $g_{(n)}$ is a polynomial of degree $l - 1$ which has exactly one zero $x_{j,n}$ in each gap $[a_{2j}, a_{2j+1}]$, $j = 1, \dots, l - 1$. Moreover,

$$(40) \quad RP_n(x_{j,n}) = \delta_{j,n} (\sqrt{H} Q_m)(x_{j,n}) \quad \text{where } \delta_{j,n} \in \{-1, 1\}.$$

3. MAIN RESULTS

Let $\mathcal{R}$ denote the hyperelliptic Riemann surface of genus $l-1$ defined by $y^2 = H(z)$ with branch cuts $[a_1, a_2], [a_2, a_3], \dots, [a_{2l-1}, a_{2l}]$. The two sheets of $\mathcal{R}$ are denoted by $\mathcal{R}^+$ and $\mathcal{R}^-$, where on $\mathcal{R}^+$ the branch of $\sqrt{H(z)}$ is chosen for which $\sqrt{H(x)} > 0$ for $x > a_{2l}$. To indicate that z lies on the first resp. second sheet we write z^+ and z^- . Furthermore let the cycles $\{\alpha_j, \beta_j\}_{j=1}^{l-1}$ be the usual canonical homology basis on $\mathcal{R}$, i.e., the curve α_j lies on the upper sheet $\mathcal{R}^+$ of $\mathcal{R}$ and encircles there clockwise the interval E_j and the curve β_j originates at a_{2j} arrives at a_{2l-1} along the upper sheet and turns back to a_{2j} along the lower sheet. $\mathcal{R}'$ denotes now the simple connected canonical dissected Riemann surface. Let $\{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{l-1}\}$, where $\varphi_j = \sum_{s=1}^{l-1} d_{j,s} \frac{z^s}{\sqrt{H(z)}} dz, d_{j,s} \in \mathbb{C}$, be a base of the normalized differential of the first kind i.e.

$$(41) \quad \int_{\alpha_j} \varphi_k = 2\pi i \delta_{jk} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\beta_j} \varphi_k = B_{jk} \quad \text{for } j, k = 1, \dots, l-1$$

where δ_{jk} denotes the Kronecker symbol here. Note that the $d_{j,n}$'s are real since $\sqrt{H(z)}$ is purely imaginary on E_j and since $\sqrt{H(z)}$ is real on $\mathbb{R} \setminus E$ the B_{jk} 's are also real.

In the following $\eta_3(P, Q)$ denotes the differential of the third kind which has simple poles at P and Q with residues 1 and -1, respectively, and is normalized such that

$$(42) \quad \int_{\alpha_j} \eta_3(P, Q) dz = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, l-1$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let R, ρ_ν be such that $R/\rho_\nu h > 0$ on $\text{int}(E)$, let $\varepsilon_j \in \{-1, 1\}$, $j = 1, \dots, \nu^*$, and assume that the polynomials $(Rp_n)(x) = x^{n+\partial R} + \dots \in \mathbb{P}_{n+\partial R}$ and $(Sq_m) \in \mathbb{P}_{m+\partial S}$ have no common zero and satisfy the relations*

$$(43) \quad Rp_n^2 - Sq_m^2 = \rho_\nu g_{(n)}$$

with $g_{(n)} \in \mathbb{P}_{l-1}$ and

$$(44) \quad (Rp_n)^{(k)}(w_j) = \varepsilon_j (\sqrt{H} q_m)^{(k)}(w_j), \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, \nu_j,$$

at the zeros $w_j, j = 1, \dots, \nu^*$, of ρ_ν . Then for each $n \geq n_0, k = 1, \dots, l-1$,

$$(45) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \delta_{j,n} \int_{x_{j,n}^-}^{x_{j,n}^+} \varphi_k = -(2n + \partial R - (\nu + \partial g_{(n)})) \int_{\infty^-}^{\infty^+} \varphi_k - \sum_{j=1}^{\nu^*} \nu_j \varepsilon_j \int_{w_j^-}^{w_j^+} \varphi_k \\ + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} (2\#Z(P_n, E_j) + \#Z(R, E_j)) B_{kj},$$

where the $x_{j,n}$'s, $x_{j,n} \in [a_{2j}, a_{2j+1}]$ for $j = 1, \dots, l-1$, are the zeros of $g_{(n)}$ and the $\delta_{j,n}$'s, $\delta_{j,n} \in \{-1, 1\}$ for $j = 1, \dots, l-1$, are given by

$$(46) \quad Rp_n(x_{j,n}) = \delta_{j,n}(\sqrt{H}q_m)(x_{j,n}),$$

that is, by relation (43), and where we integrate on $\mathcal{R}'$.

Proof. As in Lemma 2.1 let us put

$$(47) \quad \psi_n = \frac{(Rp + \sqrt{H}q)^2}{R\rho g}$$

Note that by (43)

$$(48) \quad \frac{1}{\psi_n} = \frac{(Rp - \sqrt{H}q)^2}{R\rho g}$$

Hence, in addition to (24) we have

$$\begin{aligned} x = \infty^- & \text{ is a zero of } \psi_n \text{ of multiplicity } 2n + \partial R - (\nu + \partial g_{(n)}) \\ x = w_j^- & \text{ is a pole (zero) of } \psi_n \text{ of multiplicity } \nu_j \text{ if } \varepsilon_j = -1(+1) \\ x = x_{j,n}^- & \text{ is a simple pole (zero) of } \psi_n \text{ if } \delta_{j,n} = -1(+1). \end{aligned}$$

Now let us consider the differential

$$(49) \quad \eta_{(n)} := d \ln \psi_n(z)$$

Then it follows that $\eta_{(n)}$ has a representation of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{(n)} &= (2n + \partial R - (\nu + \partial g_{(n)}))\eta_3(\infty^-, \infty^+) + \sum_{j=1}^{\nu^*} \nu_j \varepsilon_j \eta_3(w_j^-, w_j^+) \\ (50) \quad &+ \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \delta_{j,n} \eta_3(x_{j,n}^-, x_{j,n}^+) + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} c_{j,n} \varphi_j \end{aligned}$$

where $c_{j,n} \in \mathbb{C}$. By the normalization (42) of η_3 we obtain

$$(51) \quad \int_{\alpha_j} \eta_{(n)} = \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} c_{k,n} \int_{\alpha_j} \varphi_k = 2\pi i c_{j,n}$$

On the other hand it follows by shrinking α_j to E_j and by $|\psi_n(z)| = 1$ on E_j that

$$(52) \quad \int_{\alpha_j} \eta_{(n)} = -i\Delta_{E_j} \arg \psi_n = -2\pi i(2\#Z(P_n, E_j) + \#Z(R, E_j))$$

where the last equality follows with the help of (26) and the facts that by (22) $\arg \psi_n^- = -\arg \psi_n^+$ and that we have shown in the proof of Corollary 2.4 a) that $\chi_n = \arg \psi_n^+$ is strictly monotone for sufficiently large n . Since

by the bilinear relation for abelian differentials of the first and third kind (see in particular [23, pp.394-402] or [38])

$$(53) \quad \int_{\beta_k} \eta_3(P, Q) = \int_P^Q \varphi_k$$

we get, recall (41),

$$(54) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\beta_k} \eta_{(n)} &= (2n + \partial R - (\nu + \partial g_{(n)})) \int_{\infty^-}^{\infty^+} \varphi_k + \sum_{j=1}^{\nu^*} \nu_j \varepsilon_j \int_{w_j^-}^{w_j^+} \varphi_k \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \delta_{j,n} \int_{x_{j,n}^-}^{x_{j,n}^+} \varphi_k - \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} (2\#Z(P_n, E_j) + \#Z(R, E_j)) B_{kj} \end{aligned}$$

Now ψ_n takes the same value at both sides of the cross point of β_k and α_k and thus

$$(55) \quad \int_{\beta_k} \eta_{(n)} = 2m_k \pi i,$$

where $m_k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since, by the Remark before 2.4 the $x_{j,n}$'s lie in the gaps and the w_j 's are real or appear in pairs of complex numbers, the right hand side of (54) takes on real values, recall that we integrate on the simple connected Riemann surface $\mathcal{R}'$, and thus $m_k = 0$ which is the assertion. $\square$

Let us note that another version of Lemma 3.1 has been proved by A. Lukashov and the author in [18] with the help of automorphic functions. Now we are able to improve Faber's result (4). In fact under some mild additional conditions we obtain a very precise estimate of the number of zeros in the intervals in terms of the harmonic measure and the mean value of the weight function. For the following let us recall that every $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{C}^{l-1}$ can be represented in the form $\vec{v} = 2\pi i \vec{\mu} + B \vec{\lambda} + 2\pi i \vec{n} + B \vec{m}$, where $\vec{n}, \vec{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{l-1}$ and $\mu_j, \lambda_j \in [0, 1)$ for $j = 1, \dots, l-1$. The quotient space $\mathbb{C}^{l-1} / (2\pi i \vec{n} + B \vec{m})$, $\vec{n}, \vec{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{l-1}$, called the Jacobi variety of the surface $\mathcal{R}$ and denoted by $\mathcal{Jac}\mathcal{R}$, is a $2(l-1)$ -dimensional real torus.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $W \in C^2(E)$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega_2(\frac{1}{n}) \ln n = 0$ $W \neq 0$ on E and $R/Wh > 0$ on $\text{int}(E)$. Then the following statements hold:*

a) *For all $n \geq n_0$, $j = 1, \dots, l$,*

$$(56) \quad \#Z(P_n(\cdot, R/Wh), E_j) = n\omega_j(\infty) + O(1),$$

where $\omega_j(\infty)$ denotes the harmonic measure of the interval E_j .

b) *Put $\vec{\varphi}(W) = (\frac{1}{\pi i} \int_E \varphi_j^+ \log |W|)_{j=1}^{l-1}$ and let*

$\vec{V}_n = (2n + \partial R - l + 1) \frac{\vec{\omega}(\infty)}{2} - B^{-1} \vec{\varphi}(W) - \frac{\#Z(R)}{2}$. Then for the n 's, $n \geq n_1$, for which $|P_n(\cdot, R/Wh)| \geq \text{const} > 0$ at the zeros of S , the inequalities

$$(57) \quad |\#Z(P_n(\cdot, R/Wh), E_j) - [\mathcal{V}_{j,n} + \frac{1}{2}]| \leq 1,$$

$j = 1, \dots, l-1$, hold. As usual, $[.]$ denotes the greatest integer not larger than $.$

Proof. First let us prove that for weights of the form $W = \rho_\nu$ the difference between $\#Z(P_n(., R/Wh), E_j)$ and $[\mathcal{V}_{j,n} + \frac{1}{2}]$ is at most one for all $n \geq n_0$, $j = 1, \dots, l-1$. It's known, see e.g. [22] and for a detailed proof [33], that

$$(58) \quad -\frac{2}{\pi i} \int_E \varphi_k^+ \log |\hat{\rho}_\nu| = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu^*} \nu_j \left(\int_{w_j^-}^{w_j^+} \varphi_k - \int_{\infty^-}^{\infty^+} \varphi_k \right)$$

and thus relation (45) becomes

$$(59) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \delta_{j,n} \int_{x_{j,n}^-}^{x_{j,n}^+} \varphi_k = \frac{2}{\pi i} \int_E \varphi_k^+ \log |\hat{\rho}_\nu| - (2n + \partial R - \partial g_{(n)}) \int_{\infty^-}^{\infty^+} \varphi_k \\ + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} (2\#Z(P_n, E_j) + \#Z(R, E_j)) B_{kj} \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, l-1$$

Let us put $z_{j,n} := x_{j,n}^{\delta_{j,n}}$, where $x_{j,n}^{\pm 1} := x_{j,n}^\pm$. Hence the points $z_{j,n}$ and $z_{j,n}^* = x_{j,n}^{-\delta_{j,n}}$ lie above each other on $\mathcal{R}$. Now the left hand side of (59) can be represented in the form

$$(60) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \delta_{j,n} \int_{x_{j,n}^-}^{x_{j,n}^+} \varphi_k = \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \int_{z_{j,n}^*}^{z_{j,n}} \varphi_k = \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} (\lambda_{j,n} + m_{j,n}) B_{jk}$$

where $\lambda_{j,n} \in (-1, 1)$ and $m_{j,n} \in \mathbb{Z}$, $j = 1, \dots, l-1$. In view of (50)-(54) we have that $m_{j,n} = 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, l-1$. Dividing (59) by n and taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ gives

$$(61) \quad \int_{\infty^-}^{\infty^+} \varphi_k = \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} B_{kj} \omega_j(\infty)$$

where we have used the known fact (see e.g. [9, 34]) that

$$(62) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Z(P_n, E_j)}{n} = \omega_j(\infty)$$

Thus (59) can be written as

$$(63) \quad \frac{\vec{\lambda}_n}{2} - B^{-1} \vec{\varphi}(\rho_\nu) + (2n + \partial R - \partial g_{(n)}) \frac{\vec{\omega}(\infty)}{2} - \frac{\#\vec{Z}(R)}{2} = \#\vec{Z}(P_n).$$

which proves our claim.

To prove part b) of the theorem for weight functions of the form R/Wh , let us first recall, see [2], that there is sequence of ρ_ν 's such that

$$(64) \quad \vec{\varphi}(\rho_\nu) = \vec{\varphi}(W)$$

and

$$(65) \quad \left| \frac{W(x)}{\rho_\nu(x)} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{\text{const.}}{\nu^2} \omega_2\left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right)$$

where ω_2 denotes the modulus of continuity of second order, and that for given ϵ there exists a ν_0 such that for $\nu \geq \nu_0$ and for $n \geq 2\nu$

$$(66) \quad P_n(z, R/Wh) = P_n(z, R/\rho_\nu h) + O(\epsilon_n) \quad \text{on } E \text{ with } |\epsilon_n| \leq \epsilon.$$

Hence, by the assumption, (66) and Corollary 2.5a) for $\nu \geq \nu_0$, $n \geq 2\nu$,

$$(67) \quad |P_n(x, R/\rho_\nu h)| \geq \text{const} > 0 \quad \text{on } Z(HQ_m(x, R/\rho_\nu h), E).$$

Now by (66) again the sign of the two orthogonal polynomials $P_n(x, R/\rho_\nu h)$ and $P_n(x, R/Wh)$ at the boundary points of E is the same, if n is sufficiently large. Since each of the both polynomials has at most one zero in each gap they have the same number of zeros in each gap. Furthermore by Corollary 2.4a) $P_n(x, R/\rho_\nu h)$, and thus by (66) $P_n(x, R/Wh)$ also, has different sign on two consecutive zeros of $SQ_m(x, R/\rho_\nu h)$ lying in an interval E_j which implies

$$(68) \quad \#Z(P_n(\cdot, R/\rho_\nu h), E_j) = \#Z(P_n(\cdot, R/Wh), E_j) \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, l-1$$

which gives by (64) the assertion.

Finally let us prove part a). The problem is to estimate the number of zeros of $P_n(\cdot, R/Wh)$ in the neighbourhood of a boundary point of E_j which is a zero of S because $P_n(\cdot, R/Wh)$ may accumulate to zero there and thus the asymptotics (66) gives no information now. The problem can be settled by switching to the polynomials $P_m(\cdot, S/Wh)$. Recall that S is given by (1).

It is known, see e.g. [41], that $P_n(\cdot, R/Wh)$ can be expressed in terms of $P_j(\cdot, S/Wh)$, essentially by Christoffel's transformation, as follows: Put $\kappa = \partial R + \partial S$ then

$$(69) \quad \begin{aligned} S(x)P_n(x, R/Wh) &= \sum_{j=0}^{\kappa} \mu_{j,n} P_{n+\partial S-j}(x, S/Wh) \\ &= A_{\kappa-1,n}(x)P_{n-\partial R+1}(x, S/Wh) - B_{\kappa-2,n}(x)P_{n-\partial R}(x, S/Wh) \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu_{j,n} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $A_{\kappa-1,n}$ and $B_{\kappa-2,n}$ are polynomials of degree less or equal $\kappa - 1$. The second equality follows by using the recurrence relation of the P_n 's successively. Thus by (69), using the well known interlacing property of the zeros of two consecutive orthogonal polynomials, there is at least one zero of $SP_n(\cdot, R/Wh)$ or $A_{\kappa-1,n}$ between two consecutive zeros of $P_{n-\partial R}(\cdot, S/Wh)$. Hence, loosely speaking $P_n(\cdot, R/Wh)$ has at most 2κ "free zeros" on $[a_1, a_{2l}]$, i. e., , zeros which may lie in any E_j or in a gap. Now let us consider an interval $E_j = [a_{2j-1}, a_{2j}]$ with a_{2j} is a zero of S and a_{2j-1} a

zero of R . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (70) \quad & \#Z(P_n(., R/Wh), E_j) \\
 & \geq \#Z(P_n(., R/Wh), [a_{2j-1}, c]) + \#Z(P_{n-\partial R}(., S/Wh), [c, a_{2j}]) - 2\kappa \\
 & \geq \#Z(P_n(., R/\rho_\nu h), [a_{2j-1}, c]) + \#Z(P_{n-\partial R}(., S/\rho_\nu h), [c, a_{2j}]) - (2\kappa + 4) \\
 & \geq \#Z(P_n(., R/\rho_\nu h), E_j) - (4\kappa + 4)
 \end{aligned}$$

where in the second inequality we have used Corollary 2.4a) and (33), applied to R and S , in conjunction with (66) and in the third one (69) again. Summing up the zeros of all intervals E_j it follows by a very rough estimate that $P_n(., R/Wh)$ has on $[a_1, a_{2l}]$ at most $l(4\kappa + 4) + l - 1$ “free zeros”. Thus the number of zeros of $P_n(., R/\rho_\nu h)$ and $P_n(., R/Wh)$ on E_j differ by a constant at most which gives the assertion by the first proved claim. $\square$

In connection with the second statement of the above Theorem let us note that the closure of the set $\{(|P_n(s_1, R/Wh)|, \dots, |P_n(s_{\partial S}, R/Wh)|) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, where $s_1, \dots, s_{\partial S}$ denote the zeros of S , is of the form $\mathbf{X}_{j=1}^{\partial S}[0, \eta_j]$, $0 < \eta_j \leq \infty$, if the harmonic measures $\omega_j(\infty)$, $j = 1, \dots, l-1$, are linearly independent over the rationals. Indeed with the help of Kronecker’s Lemma it follows - see the proof of Theorem 3.9 - that the zeros $x_{j,n}$ of $g_{(n)}$ are dense in the gaps which gives in conjunction with (38) and (66) the assertion.

For the important class of weight functions which vanish at the boundary points of E Theorem 3.2b) becomes

Corollary 3.3. *For all $n \geq n_0$ and $j = 1, \dots, l-1$ the difference between the number of zeros of $P_n(., H/Wh)$ on E_j and $[\mathcal{V}_{j,n} + \frac{1}{2}]$ is at most one.*

To get informations about the appearance of the zeros in the gaps and other informations on the zeros we will have to study the behaviour of the solutions of the so-called real Jacobi-inversion problem. The map $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{R}_{symm}^{l-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{JacR}$, $(z_1, \dots, z_{l-1}) \rightarrow (\sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \int_{e_j}^{z_j} \varphi_1, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \int_{e_j}^{z_j} \varphi_{l-1})$, is the so-called Abel-map. Here $\mathcal{R}_{symm}^{l-1}$ denotes the $(l-1)$ th symmetric power of $\mathcal{R}$ and $e_1, \dots, e_{l-1}$ are given points on $\mathcal{R}$. It’s known that $\mathcal{A}$ is locally biholomorphic but not injective globally. The problem for given $\vec{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_{l-1}) \in \mathcal{JacR}$ to find $(z_1, \dots, z_{l-1}) \in \mathcal{R}_{symm}^{l-1}$ such that

$$(71) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \int_{e_j}^{z_j} \varphi_k = v_k \quad \text{mod periods}$$

is called the Jacobi-inversion problem. We will be interested in the real Jacobian inversion problem, that is, when the v_k ’s are real. We need some notation.

Notation 3.4. Let $[a_{2j}, a_{2j+1}]^+, [a_{2j}, a_{2j+1}]^-$ denote the two copies of $[a_{2j}, a_{2j+1}]$, $j = 1, \dots, l-1$ in $\mathcal{R}^+$ and $\mathcal{R}^-$, respectively, and let $\mathcal{I}_j = [a_{2j}, a_{2j+1}]^+ \cup [a_{2j}, a_{2j+1}]^- \subset \mathcal{R}$ which is a closed loop on $\mathcal{R}$. Furthermore put $\Xi = \{(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{l-1}) : \delta_j \in \{-1, +1\}\}$ and for $\delta \in \{-1, +1\}$

set

$$(a_{2j}, a_{2j+1})^\delta = \begin{cases} (a_{2j}, a_{2j+1})^+ \subset \mathcal{R}^+ & \text{if } \delta = 1, \\ (a_{2j}, a_{2j+1})^- \subset \mathcal{R}^- & \text{if } \delta = -1. \end{cases}$$

By z and z^* we denote the points which lie above each other on $\mathcal{R}$, that is, have the same image under the canonical projection π of $\mathcal{R}$ on the Riemann sphere. Finally denote by $\mathcal{Jac}\mathcal{R}/\mathbb{R} := \mathbb{R}^{l-1}/B\vec{m}$ the Jacobi variety restricted to the reals.

As mentioned in [7, 19, 22] the real Jacobi inversion problem is uniquely solvable. More precisely

Lemma 3.5. a) *The restricted Abel map*

$$(72) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} : \mathbf{X}_{j=1}^{l-1} \mathcal{I}_j &\rightarrow \mathcal{Jac}\mathcal{R}/\mathbb{R} \\ (z_1, \dots, z_{l-1}) &\rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \int_{z_j^*}^{z_j} \varphi_1, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \int_{z_j^*}^{z_j} \varphi_{l-1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

is a bijection.

b) *For each of the $2^{l-1} \vec{\delta}$'s from Ξ put $C_{\vec{\delta}} := \mathcal{A} \left(\mathbf{X}_{j=1}^{l-1} (a_{2j}, a_{2j+1})^{\delta_j} \right)$. Then $\cup_{\vec{\delta} \in \Xi} \bar{C}_{\vec{\delta}} = \mathcal{Jac}\mathcal{R}/\mathbb{R}$, where $\bar{C}_{\vec{\delta}}$ denotes the closure of $C_{\vec{\delta}}$.*

Proof. Ad a). The simplest way to prove part a) is to change the model of the Riemann surface by choosing now the canonical homology basis $\{\alpha'_j, \beta'_j\}_{j=1}^{l-1}$, where the curve α'_j originates at a_{2j} arrives at a_{2j+1} along the upper sheet and turns back to a_{2j} along the lower sheet and β'_j lies in the upper sheet and encircles clockwise the interval $[a_1, a_{2j}]$, and then to proceed as in [14]. Note that the α' and β' periods can be expressed easily in terms of the α and β periods from (41). Another possibility, see [7], is to prove by a van der Monde argument that the derivative is nonsingular on $\mathbf{X}_{j=1}^{l-1} \mathcal{I}_j$ (which is homeomorphic to a $l-1$ dimensional torus) and thus $\mathcal{A}$ is open. By continuity it follows that $\mathcal{A}$ is closed and thus surjective. Since $z_j \in \mathcal{I}_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, l-1$ among $z_1 z_2 \dots z_{l-1}$ there are no points which lie above each other or in other words $z_1 z_2 \dots z_{l-1}$ is a so-called nonspecial divisor which implies by Lemma 4.3 in [22] or IX.7 and X.3 in [13] that $\mathcal{A}$ is injective also. The third possibility is (see [19]) to look at the solution of the Jacobi inversion problem in terms of Thetafunctions [15, p.142]. Indeed, by the representation it follows that the solutions z_j , $j = 1, \dots, l-1$, have to lie in $\mathcal{I}_j$, $j = 1, \dots, l-1$ if all v_k are real from which the assertion can be derived easily now.

Since $\mathcal{A}$ is holomorphic also part b) follows immediately. $\square$

Theorem 3.6. *Suppose that W satisfies the same assumptions as in Theorem 3.2 and let $\vec{\varphi}(W)$ and $\vec{\mathcal{V}}_n$ be defined as there. Then the following statements hold:*

For all $n \geq n_0$ for which $2B\vec{V}_n \in \{B(\vec{\lambda} + \vec{m}) : \vec{\lambda} \in [\epsilon, 1 - \epsilon]^{l-1}, \vec{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{l-1}\}, \epsilon > 0$, the following relations hold:

a)

$$(73) \quad \#Z(P_n(., R/Wh), E_j) = [\mathcal{V}_{j,n} + \frac{1}{2}] \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, l-1.$$

b) If $\vec{V}_n \in C_{\vec{\delta}}, \vec{\delta} \in \Xi$, then

$$(74) \quad \#Z(P_n(., R/Wh), (a_{2j}, a_{2j+1})) = \frac{(1 - \delta_{j,n})}{2} \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, l-1,$$

Proof. Let $W = \rho_\nu$. In the proof of Theorem 3.2 we have shown that the zeros $x_{j,n}$ resp. the $z_{j,n}$ defined after (59) are solutions of the Jacobi-inversion problem (59), taking into consideration (60). Since by assumption $2B\vec{V}_n \in \{B(\vec{\lambda} + \vec{m}) : \vec{\lambda} \in [\epsilon, 1 - \epsilon]^{l-1}, \vec{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{l-1}\}, \epsilon > 0$, it follows first of all by (63) that

$$(75) \quad \#Z(P_n(., R/h\rho_\nu), E_j) = [\mathcal{V}_{j,n} + \frac{1}{2}] \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, l-1$$

and furthermore with the help of Lemma 3.5 and continuity arguments that the $z_{j,n}$'s from (60) satisfy for $n \geq n_0$ $z_{j,n} \in [a_{2j} + \tilde{\epsilon}, a_{2j+1} - \tilde{\epsilon}]^\pm$, $\tilde{\epsilon} > 0$, for $j = 1, \dots, l-1$, that is, the projection $\pi(z_{j,n}) = x_{j,n} \in [a_{2j} + \tilde{\epsilon}, a_{2j+1} - \tilde{\epsilon}]$. Hence $|\hat{g}_{(n)}| \geq \text{const} > 0$ on E . Now part a) follows with the help of Corollary 2.5b) and relation (66) by the same arguments used in the second part of the proof of Theorem 3.2. Let us note for the next step of the proof that in particular the absolute values of $P_n(., R/\rho_\nu h)$ and $P_n(., R/Wh)$ have for $n \geq n_0$ a positive lower bound and thus the same sign at the boundary points of E .

Ad b): Since by the last remark $P_n(x, R/\rho_\nu h)$ and $P_n(x, R/Wh)$ have the same number of zeros in each gap the assertion has to be proved for weights of the form $W = \rho_\nu$ only.

If $\delta_{j,n} = 1$, $j \in \{1, \dots, l-1\}$, then it follows by (16), using the facts that $|\phi(z, \infty)| > 1$ on $\tilde{\mathbb{C}} \setminus E$ and that the modulus of each other factor has a positive lower bound on $[a_{2j}, a_{2j+1}]$, that $|\psi_n| > 1$ on (a_{2j}, a_{2j+1}) if n is sufficiently large. Thus by (26) $P_n(., R/h\rho_\nu)$ has no zero in (a_{2j}, a_{2j+1}) .

If $\delta_{j,n} = -1$ then ψ_n has by (16) exactly one zero in (a_{2j}, a_{2j+1}) , namely the simple zero $x_{j,n}$. Note that by (13) and (12) $x_{j,n}$ can not coincide with a zero of ρ_ν . Since $x_{j,n} \in [a_{2j} + \tilde{\epsilon}, a_{2j+1} - \tilde{\epsilon}]$, $\tilde{\epsilon} > 0$, it follows again by (16) and $|\phi(z, \infty)| > 1$ on $\tilde{\mathbb{C}} \setminus E$ that ψ_n is unbounded with respect to n on every compact subset of $(a_{2j}, a_{2j} + \delta) \cup [a_{2j+1} - \delta, a_{2j+1})$, $\delta > 0$. Hence, using the facts that by (26) $|\psi_n| = 1$ at the boundary points of E and that ψ_n is real and continuous on $[a_{2j}, a_{2j+1}]$ up to the poles w_j we conclude that $\psi_n + 1$ or $\psi_n - 1$ has at least one simple zero in (a_{2j}, a_{2j+1}) . Since by Corollary 2.4 either both P_n and Q_m have exactly one or no zero on (a_{2j}, a_{2j+1}) it follows by (26) that $P_n(., R/h\rho_\nu)$ has exactly one zero in (a_{2j}, a_{2j+1}) which proves the assertion. $\square$

Thus the appearance of a zero of P_n in a gap depends on the fact in which part of the Jacobian variety $\vec{\mathcal{V}}_n$ lies. Let us point out that the $\delta_{j,n}$'s from (74) coincide with the $\delta_{j,n}$'s from Lemma 2.6, relation (40), if $W = \rho_\nu$.

If the harmonic measures are rational then for Bernstein-Szegő weights the number of zeros in the intervals can be determined easily.

Proposition 3.7. *Assume that $\omega_j(\infty) = k_j/N$ for $j = 1, \dots, l-1$, where $k_j \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$. Let P_n be orthonormal to $\mathbb{P}_{n-1}$ with respect to $d\mu_{R,\rho_\nu,\epsilon}$. Then for $\kappa = 0, \dots, N-1$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $2(\kappa + mN) \geq \nu + l - \partial R - 1$*

$$(76) \quad \#Z(P_{\kappa+(m+1)N}, E_j) = \#Z(P_{\kappa+mN}, E_j) + k_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, l-1$$

Proof. Obviously for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\kappa \in \{0, \dots, N\}$,

$$(77) \quad (mN + \kappa)\vec{\omega}(\infty) = (mk_1 + \frac{\kappa k_1}{N}, \dots, mk_{l-1} + \frac{\kappa k_{l-1}}{N})$$

which gives by (61) and Lemma 3.5 that the $x_{j,n}$'s and $\delta_{j,n}$'s from Lemma 3.1 and thus from Lemma 2.6 satisfy

$$(78) \quad x_{j,\kappa+mN} = x_{j,\kappa+(m+1)N} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_{j,\kappa+mN} = \delta_{j,\kappa+(m+1)N}.$$

which proves the assertion. Let us note that (78) has been shown already in [27, 29] by different methods. $\square$

Let us mention that the harmonic measures satisfy $\omega_j(\infty) = k_j/N$ for $j = 1, \dots, l-1$ if and only if there exists a polynomial $\mathcal{T}$ of degree N such that $E = \mathcal{T}_N^{-1}([-1, 1])$ (see [3, 27, 28]).

Theorem 3.8. *Suppose that W satisfies the assumptions of Thm. 3.2. Let $z_{j,n}(W) \in \mathcal{R}$, $j = 1, \dots, l-1$, be the points which solve the Jacobi inversion problem, $k = 1, \dots, l-1$,*

$$(79) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \int_{z_{j,n}^*(W)}^{z_{j,n}(W)} \varphi_k &= \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_E \varphi_k^+ \log |W| - \frac{(2n + \partial R - l + 1)}{2} \int_{\infty^-}^{\infty^+} \varphi_k \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \#Z(R, E_j) B_{kj} \quad \text{mod periods} \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore let $z_k \in \mathcal{R}$, $k \in \{1, \dots, l-1\}$, be such that $\pi(z_k) \in (a_{2k}, a_{2k+1})$, where π is the canonical projection of $\mathcal{R}$ on $\bar{\mathbb{C}}$. Then $\pi(z_k)$ is a limit point of zeros of $(P_{n_\kappa}(\cdot, R/Wh))$ if and only if z_k is a limit point of $(z_{k,n_\kappa}(W))$ with $z_k \in (a_{2k}, a_{2k+1})^-$.

Proof. First let us prove the assertion for $W = \rho_\nu$. Put $z_{j,n} := z_{j,n}(\rho_\nu)$ for $j = 1, \dots, l-1$ and let us observe first that by (79), Lemma 3.5, (58) and (45) the $x_{j,n}$'s, i. e., the zeros of $g_{(n)}$, and the $\delta_{j,n}$'s from Lemma 3.1 and thus from Lemma 2.6, are related to the $z_{j,n}$'s as follows:

$$(80) \quad \pi(z_{j,n}) = x_{j,n} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_{j,n} = \pm 1 \text{ if } z_{j,n} \in (a_{2j}, a_{2j+1})^\pm.$$

Sufficiency. Since $z_{k,n_\kappa} \in (a_{2k}, a_{2k+1})^-$ for $\kappa \geq \kappa_0$ we have by (80)

$$(81) \quad \delta_{k,n_\kappa} = -1 \quad \text{and} \quad \pi(z_{k,n_\kappa}) = x_{k,n_\kappa}$$

Relation (16) implies that $\psi_{n_\kappa}(x_{k,n_\kappa}) = 0$, where ψ_{n_κ} changes sign at x_{k,n_κ} . Since by (16) and $|\phi(z, \infty)| > 1$ on $\mathbb{C} \setminus E$ ψ_{n_κ} is unbounded with respect to κ on compact subsets of $(a_{2k}, a_{2k+1}) \setminus \{x_k\}$, where $x_k := \pi(z_k)$, it follows that ψ_{n_κ} takes on the value -1 on $(x_k - \varepsilon, x_k + \varepsilon)$, $\varepsilon > 0$, if κ is sufficiently large. By (26) the sufficiency is proved.

Necessity. Assume that $x_k := \pi(z_k)$ is a limit point of zeros of the sequence $(P_{n_\kappa}(\cdot, R/h\rho_\nu))$ and that z_k is no limit point of (z_{k,n_κ}) . Then as before ψ_{n_κ} is unbounded with respect to κ on $[x_k - \varepsilon, x_k + \varepsilon]$, $\varepsilon > 0$, which implies by (14) that $P_{n_\kappa}(\cdot, R/h\rho_\nu)$ is unbounded on this interval which is the desired contradiction.

Next let us prove the assertion for the general case. Let (ρ_ν) be the sequence given in (64) and (65) and thus for $\nu \geq \nu_0, n \geq 2\nu$

$$(82) \quad z_{j,n} := z_{j,n}(\rho_\nu) = z_{j,n}(W) \quad \text{for} \quad j = 1, \dots, l-1$$

Let $z_k \in (a_{2k}, a_{2k+1})^-$ be a limit point of (z_{k,n_κ}) which is, by what has been proved just, equivalent to the fact that $x_k := \pi(z_k)$ is a limit point of zeros of $(P_{n_\kappa}(\cdot, R/h\rho_\nu))$. Since, because of $W \neq 0$ on E , the zeros of ρ_ν stay away from the boundary points a_{2k} and a_{2k+1} for $\nu \geq \nu_0$ as well as does the zero $x_{k,n_\kappa} = \pi(z_{k,n_\kappa})$ of $g_{(n_\kappa)}$, $\kappa \geq \kappa_0$, it follows by (38) that

$$(83) \quad |P_{n_\kappa}(z, R/h\rho_\nu)| \geq \text{const} > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad z \in \{a_{2k}, a_{2k+1}\}$$

and by (14) and (16)

$$(84) \quad \left| \frac{P_{n_\kappa}(z, R/\rho_\nu h)}{\phi(z, \infty)^{n_\kappa}} \right| \geq \text{const} > 0 \quad \text{on} \quad [a_{2k}, a_{2k+1}] \setminus (x_k - \varepsilon, x_k + \varepsilon)$$

for $\kappa \geq \kappa_0$, $\nu \geq \nu_0$. By (66) and (83) it follows that

$$(85) \quad \text{sgn } P_{n_\kappa}(z, R/Wh) = \text{sgn } P_{n_\kappa}(z, R/h\rho_\nu) \quad \text{for} \quad z \in \{a_{2k}, a_{2k+1}\}$$

Hence, $P_{n_\kappa}(z, R/hW)$ has for each $\kappa \geq \kappa_0$ exactly one zero in (a_{2k}, a_{2k+1}) . By the asymptotic representation on $\mathbb{C} \setminus E$, see [40] or [22],

$$(86) \quad \frac{P_{n_\kappa}(z, R/Wh)}{\phi(z, \infty)^{n_\kappa}} = \frac{P_{n_\kappa}(z, R/\rho_\nu h)}{\phi(z, \infty)^{n_\kappa}} + O(\epsilon_{n_\kappa}) \quad \text{with} \quad \epsilon_{n_\kappa} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \kappa \rightarrow \infty,$$

and (84) we conclude that every accumulation point of zeros of the sequence $(P_{n_\kappa}(z, R/Wh))$ in $[a_{2k}, a_{2k+1}]$ has to coincide with x_k which proves the sufficiency part.

Concerning the necessity part let us assume that $x_k := \pi(z_k)$ is a limit point of zeros of $(P_{n_\kappa}(\cdot, R/Wh))$ and that z_k is no limit point of (z_{k,n_κ}) . Then by (82) and the same arguments as above

$$(87) \quad \left| \frac{P_{n_\kappa}(z, R/\rho_\nu h)}{\phi(z, \infty)^{n_\kappa}} \right| \geq \text{const} > 0 \quad \text{on} \quad [x_k - \varepsilon, x_k + \varepsilon], \varepsilon > 0,$$

which gives by (86) a contradiction. $\square$

Theorem 3.9. *Let W satisfy the assumptions of Thm.3.2.*

- a) *Suppose that $1, \omega_1(\infty), \dots, \omega_{l-1}(\infty)$ are linearly independent over the rationals. Let $x_{j_\mu} \in (a_{2j_\mu}, a_{2j_\mu+1})$, $\mu = 1, \dots, l'$, $l' \leq l-1$, be given. Then there exists a sequence (n_κ) such that each x_{j_μ} is a limit point of zeros of $(P_{n_\kappa}(z, R/Wh))$ and $P_{n_\kappa}(z, R/Wh)$, $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$, has no zero in the other gaps $[a_{2j}, a_{2j+1}]$, $j \in \{1, \dots, l-1\} \setminus \{j_\mu : \mu = 1, \dots, l'\}$.*
- b) *Suppose that $\omega_j(\infty) = k_j/N$ for $j = 1, \dots, l-1$, where $k_j \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$. Then $(P_n(z, R/Wh))$ has at most $N+2$ accumulation points in each gap $[a_{2j}, a_{2j+1}]$, $j = 1, \dots, l-1$.*

Proof. Ad a) Put $\vec{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{l-1})$, where $x_j \in (a_{2j}, a_{2j+1})$ is arbitrary for $j \in \{1, \dots, l-1\} \setminus \{j_1, \dots, j_{l'}\}$ and put

$$(88) \quad \delta_j = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{for } j \in \{j_1, \dots, j_{l'}\}, \\ +1 & \text{for } j \in \{1, \dots, l-1\} \setminus \{j_1, \dots, j_{l'}\}. \end{cases}$$

Since the harmonic measures are rationally independent it follows from Kronecker's Lemma, see e.g. [12, p.23], and (61) that there exists a sequence (n_κ) of natural numbers such that for $k = 1, \dots, l-1$,

$$(89) \quad -\frac{(2n_\kappa + \partial R - l + 1)}{2} \int_{\infty^-}^{\infty^+} \varphi_k + \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_E \varphi_k \log |W| \\ + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \left(\frac{\#Z(R, E_j)}{2} + N_{j, n_\kappa} \right) B_{kj} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \delta_j \int_{x_j^-}^{x_j^+} \varphi_k = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \int_{z_j^*}^{z_j} \varphi_k$$

as $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$, where $N_{j, n_\kappa} \in \mathbb{Z}$, $z_j := x_j^{\delta_j}$. Now let z_{j, n_κ} be the solutions of the Jacobi inversion problem (79) for n_κ , $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$. Then it follows by Lemma 3.5 and continuity arguments that

$$(90) \quad z_{j, n_\kappa} \rightarrow z_j \quad \text{as } \kappa \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since $z_j \in \mathcal{R}^{\delta_j}$, $j = 1, \dots, l-1$, part a) follows by Theorem 3.8.

Ad b) In view of (77) and Lemma 3.5 the solutions of (79) satisfy

$$(91) \quad z_{j, \kappa + mN}(W) = z_{j, \kappa + (m+1)N}(W)$$

for $j = 1, \dots, l-1$, $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\kappa = 0, \dots, N-1$ which gives by Theorem 3.8 the assertion. $\square$

If we put $l' = 0$ in Theorem 3.9a) we obtain a recent result of S.P. Suetin [37] who has shown (even for arcs loosely speaking) that there exist a subsequence (n_κ) such that the zeros of (p_{n_κ}) accumulate on E only.

With the help of similar ideas used in this paper we are also able to give corresponding results for polynomials orthogonal with respect to varying exponential weights which are of particular interest in connection with random

matrices (see [5, 6, 8]) as well as for polynomials orthogonal or extremal on more general sets in the complex plane. The results will be given elsewhere.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. I. Achieser, *Orthogonal polynomials on several intervals*, Soviet. Math. Dokl. 1 (1960), 989 - 992
- [2] N. I. Achieser and Yu. Ya. Tomcuk, *On the theory of orthogonal polynomials over several intervals*, Soviet. Math. Dokl. 2 (1961), 687 - 690
- [3] A. I. Aptekarev, *Asymptotic properties of polynomials orthogonal on a system of contours, and periodic motions of Toda lattices*, Math. USSR Sb. 53 (1986), 233 - 260
- [4] P. Bleher and A. Its, *Semiclassical asymptotics of orthogonal Polynomials, Riemann-Hilbert problem, and universality in the matrix model*, Ann. of Math. 150 (1999), 185 - 266
- [5] P. Deift, *Orthogonal polynomials and random matrices: a Riemann-Hilbert approach*, Courant Lecture Notes in Math., AMS, Providence, Rhode Island, 1998
- [6] P. Deift, *Integrable systems and Combinatorial Theory*, Notices AMS 47 (2000), 631 - 640
- [7] P. Deift, T. Kriecherbauer and S. Venakides, *Forced lattice vibrations: part II*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 48 (1995), 1251 - 1298
- [8] P. Deift, T. Kriecherbauer, K. T-R. McLaughlin, S. Venakides and X. Zhou, *Uniform asymptotics for polynomials orthogonal with respect to varying exponential weights and applications to universality questions in random matrix theory*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 52 (1999), 1335 - 1425
- [9] G. Faber, *Über nach Polynomen fortschreitende Reihen*, Sitzungsberichte der Bayerischen Akademie der Wissenschaften (1922), 157 - 178
- [10] J. Geronimus, *On the character of the solution of the moment problem in the case of the periodic in the limit associated fraction*, Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR 5 (1941), 203 - 210.
- [11] J. S. Geronimo and W. VanAssche, *Orthogonal polynomials with asymptotically periodic recurrence coefficients*, J. Approx. Theory 46 (1986), 251 - 283
- [12] E. Hlawka, J. Schoissengeier, R. Tascher, *Geometric and analytic number theory*, Springer, Berlin, 1991
- [13] A. Krazer, *Lehrbuch der Thetafunktionen*, Teubner, Leipzig, 1903; reprinted by Chelsea Pub. Co., New York, 1970
- [14] M. G. Krein, B. Ya. Levin and A. A. Nudelman, *On special representations of polynomials that are positive on a system of closed intervals, and some applications*, in Lev. J. Leifman, Ed., Functional Analysis, Optimization and Mathematical Economics, Oxford University Press, 1990
- [15] E. Landfriedt, *Thetafunktionen und hyperelliptische Funktionen*, G.J. Göschenver=lagshandlung, Leipzig 1902
- [16] E. Levin and D. Lubinsky, *Orthogonal Polynomials for exponential weights*, Springer, New York-Berlin, 2001
- [17] G. G. Lorentz, *Approximation of Functions*, Chelsea, New York, 1986, 2nd edition
- [18] A. Lukashov and F. Peherstorfer, *Automorphic orthogonal and extremal polynomials*, submitted
- [19] A. Magnus, *Recurrence coefficients for orthogonal polynomials on connected and non-connected sets*, in: L. Wuytack, Ed., Padé Approximation and its Application, Lecture Notes in Math. 765 (Springer, Berlin, 1979), 150 - 171
- [20] A. Markoff, *Sur les racines de certaines équations*, Math. Annalen 27 (1886), 143 - 150
- [21] A. I. Muschelischwili, *Singuläre Integralgleichungen* Akademie Verlag, Berlin, 1965

- [22] J. Nuttall and S. R. Singh, *Orthogonal polynomials and Padé approximations associated with a system of arcs*, J. Approx. Theory 21 (1977), 1 – 42
- [23] W.F. Osgood, *Lehrbuch der Funktionentheorie*, Vol. II, reprint, Chelsea Publ. Co., New York, 1965
- [24] L. Pastur, *Spectral and probabilistic aspects of matrix models*, in: A. Boutet de Monvel, V. Marchenko (Eds.), *Algebraic and Geometric Methods in Mathematical Physics*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1996, pp. 207 - 242.
- [25] L. Pastur and M. Shcherbina, *Universality of the local eigenvalue statistics for a class of unitary invariant matrix ensembles*, J. Statist. Phys. 86 (1997), 109 - 147
- [26] F. Peherstorfer, *On Bernstein-Szegő orthogonal polynomials on several intervals*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 21 (1990), 461 – 482
- [27] F. Peherstorfer, *On Bernstein-Szegő orthogonal polynomials on several intervals II: Orthogonal polynomials with periodic recurrence coefficients*, J. Approx. Theory 64 (1991), 123 – 161
- [28] F. Peherstorfer, *On orthogonal and extremal polynomials on several intervals*, J. Comp. Appl. Math. 48 (1993), 187 – 205
- [29] F. Peherstorfer and R. Steinbauer, *On polynomials orthogonal on several intervals*, Annals Numer. Math. 2 (1995), 353 – 370
- [30] F. Peherstorfer, *Elliptic orthogonal and extremal polynomials*, Proc. London. Math. Soc. 70 (1995), 605 – 624
- [31] F. Peherstorfer and P. Yuditskii, *Asymptotic behaviour of polynomials orthonormal on a homogeneous set*, to appear in J. Anal. Math.
- [32] F. Peherstorfer, *Zeros of orthogonal polynomials: the elliptic case*, submitted
- [33] F. Peherstorfer, *Polynomials positive on several intervals*, manuscript in work
- [34] T. Ransford, *Potential theory in the complex plane*, Cambr. Univ. Press. , 1995.
- [35] E. Saff, V. Totik, *Logarithmic potentials with external fields*, Springer 1997
- [36] J. Shohat, *On the continued fractions associated with and corresponding to the integral $\int_a^b \frac{p(y)}{x-y} dy$* Amer. J. Math. 55 (1933), 218 - 230
- [37] S. P. Suetin, *Uniform convergence of Padé diagonal approximants for hyperelliptic functions*, Sbornik: Mathematics 191 (2000), 1339-1373
- [38] G. Springer, *Introduction to Riemann surfaces*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA 1957
- [39] G. Szegő, *Orthogonal polynomials*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 23, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 1975
- [40] Yu. Ya. Tomcuk, *Orthogonal polynomials on a system of intervals of the real axis*, Zap. Mekh.-Math. Fak. i Kharkov. Mat. Obshch. 29(4) (1964), 93 – 128
- [41] V. B. Uvarov, *On the connection between polynomials orthogonal with different weights*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSR 126 (1959), 33 - 36.
- [42] H. Widom, *Extremal polynomials associated with a system of curves in the complex plane*, Adv. Math. 3 (1969), 127 – 232